6		
LEC'D	23 DEC 2004	
WIPO	PCT	\dashv

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORTON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

P04588500	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/JP 03 / 14843		L.2003	25.11.2002		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC Int.Cl 7 G02B 1/10,G02B 5/02,B32B7/02					
Applicant FUJI PHOTO FILM CO., LTD.					
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet. 3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES companied by ANNEXES.					
a. a total of					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
l –					
Box No. II Priority	•				
Box No. III Non-establis					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand					
		Date of completion	of this report		
17.02.2004		01.12.2004			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer	2V 3012		
Japan Patent Office		ISAMU WA			
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3270 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 2004)					



Internal application No.
PCT/JP 03 / 14843

Box No. I	Basis of the report			
1. With	regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless			
	vise indicated under this item.			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language			
	which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))			
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)			
	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2. With	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been			
furnisi	ned to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed"			
	e not annexed to this report):			
	the intermediated annihilation and the state of the state			
	the international application as originally filed/furnished			
	the description:			
. —	pages as originally filed/furnished			
	pages* received by this Authority on			
	pages* received by this Authority on			
	received by this Additing on			
Ш	the claims:			
	pages as originally filed/furnished			
	pages* as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19			
	pages* received by this Authority on			
	pages* received by this Authority on			
	the drawings:			
	pages as originally filed/furnished			
	pages* received by this Authority on			
	pages* received by this Authority on			
	3 Sequence listing and/or any related table(a) and Supplemental Day Delate and Grant a			
ш	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.			
F	·			
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
•	the description, pages			
	the claims, Nos.			
	the drawings, sheets/figs			
	the sequence listing (specify):			
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
₄ П .	Chicagonart has been set 11.1 to 1.00			
→ LJ	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been nade, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box			
	Rule 70.2(c)).			
[the description, pages			
i				
	the claims, Nos.			
ı	the drawings, sheets/figs			
. [the sequence listing (specify):			
1	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
* If item A	applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."			
- DOMAN	7A/400 (D.) Y. D. (T.)			

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Statement 1-20 Novelty (N) Claims Claims NO 3,13 Inventive step (IS) Claims 1,2,4-12,14-20 Claims Industrial applicability (IA) 1-20 Claims YES Claims NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The following documents have been considered for the purpose of this report:

D1 = JP 2001-281407 A(FUJI PHOTO FILM CO.,LTD),2001.10.10

D2 = US 2002/0034013 A1(Kazuhiro Nakamura),2002.03.21

& JP 2002-40204 A

D3 = US 2001/0035929 A1(Kazuhiro Nakamura),2001.11.01

& JP 2001-281411 A

D4 = US 2002/0085284 A1(Kazuhiro Nakamura),2002.07.04

& JP 2002-202402 A

D5 = JP 2002-82207 A(FUJI PHOTO FILM CO.,LTD),2002.03.22

D6 = JP 2002-82206 A(TOPPAN INSATSU CO.,LTD),2002.03.22

Claim 1

The subject matter of claim 1 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the D1 cited in the ISR and the D2 cited in the same.

In the D1, an anti-reflection film comprising a transparent support, at least one hard coat layer and outermost low refractive index layer, wherein (a) the surface of the anti-reflection film has a central line average roughness: Ra of not greater than $0.15\,\mu$ m, (b) the hard coat layer comprises at least one kind of particle includes a particle, is described. In the D2, an anti-reflection film comprising a transparent support, at least one hard coat layer and outermost low refractive index layer, wherein (c) the hard coat layer comprises at least one kind of particle includes a particle having an average particle diameter of not smaller than 80% of the thickness of the hard coat layer and cut point value(CP value) of coarse particle in the hard coat layer is less than 4 times the thickness of the hard coat layer, is described.

Technical features a hard coat layer and a hard coat layer, disclosed in D1 and D2 respectively, have the same function and are related to similar technical fields.

Therefore, the skilled person in the art would easily conceive the idea of employing the f eature a hard coat layer comprises particles in D2 to substitute the feature a hard coat I ayer comprises particles disclosed in D1.

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: V

Claim 11

The subject matter of claim 11 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the D1 and the D2.

In the D2, an anti-reflection film comprising a transparent support, at least one hard coat layer and outermost low refractive index layer, wherein (c) the hard coat layer comprise s at least one kind of particle includes a particle having an average particle diameter of n ot smaller than 80% of the thickness of the hard coat layer and the particle in the hard coat layer satisfies a relationship represented by the following formula(1):

 $0 \mu \text{ m} \le \text{d max -d ac} \le 7 \mu \text{ m}$ (1)

, is described.

Technical features a hard coat layer and a hard coat layer, disclosed in D1 and D2 respectively, have the same function and are related to similar technical fields.

Therefore, the skilled person in the art would easily conceive the idea of employing the f eature a hard coat layer comprises particles in D2 to substitute the feature a hard coat la yer comprises particles disclosed in D1.

Claim 4,6,8-10,14,16,18-20

The subject matters of claim 4,6,8-10,14,16,18-20 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the D1 and the D2.

In the D1, an anti-reflection film whose surface has a central line average roughness: R a of not greater than 0.10 μ m, a polarizing plate comprising a polarizer and two protective films of the polarizer, wherein one of the two protective films of the polarizer is the anti-reflection film, are described.

In the D1, a polarizing plate that has technical features described in claim 8-10 or 18-20, is described.

Claim 5,15

The subject matter of claim 5,15 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the document D1,D2 and the D3 cited in the ISR.

In the D3, the anti-reflection film that has a value of transmitted image sharpness of from not smaller than 40% to less than 97% as measured at a comb width of 0.5mm.

Claim 2,7,12,17

The subject matter of claim 5,15 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the document D1,D2 and the D4 cited in the ISR.

In the D4, the anti-reflection film having the hard coat layer, wherein the hard coat layer c ontains particles having an average particle diameter of less than 80% of the thickness of the hard coat layer and providing an internal scattering property to the hard coat, is described.

In the D4, a polarizing plate that has technical features described in claim 7 or 17, is described.

Claim 3,13

The subject matter of claim 3 or 13 is neither disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.